Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 193

Zoning; affordable housing statute (§ 8-30g); whether Superior Court erred in concluding that plaintiffs' application for affordable housing development filed following remand was not new application; claim that plaintiffs' remand application was beyond scope of remand order; claim that record supported denial of revised and resubmitted application on ground that application failed to comply with town zoning regulations and was necessary to protect health and safety. Echeverria v. Commissioner of Correction. Habeas Corpus; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner met burden to demonstrate prejudice resulting from trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance in failing to advise petitioner properly regarding immigration consequences of guilty plea. King v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to establish that he had received ineffective assistance from his trial counsel; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to trial court's jury instructions or requesting additional jury instruction regarding difference between intent elements of assault charges against petitioner; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by declining to object to admission of police detective's written summary of petitioner's oral account of incident; whether trial counsel's strategic decision to allow written summary into evidence was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; cla
application was beyond scope of remand order; claim that record supported denial of revised and resubmitted application on ground that application failed to comply with town zoning regulations and was necessary to protect health and safety. Echeveria v. Commissioner of Correction
comply with town zoning regulations and was necessary to protect health and safety. Echeveria v. Commissioner of Correction
Echeverria v. Commissioner of Correction
Habeas Corpus; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for writ of habeas corpus; whether petitioner met burden to demonstrate prejudice resulting from trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance in failing to advise petitioner properly regarding immigration consequences of guilty plea. King v. Commissioner of Correction
corpus; whether petitioner met burden to demonstrate prejudice resulting from trial counsel's allegedly deficient performance in failing to advise petitioner properly regarding immigration consequences of guilty plea. King v. Commissioner of Correction
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly concluded that petitioner failed to establish that he had received ineffective assistance from his trial counsel; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to trial court's jury instructions or requesting additional jury instruction regarding difference between intent elements of assault charges against petitioner; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by declining to object to admission of police detective's written summary of petitioner's oral account of incident; whether trial counsel's strategic decision to allow written summary into evidence was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
to establish that he had received ineffective assistance from his trial counsel; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to trial court's jury instructions or requesting additional jury instruction regarding difference between intent elements of assault charges against petitioner; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by declining to object to admission of police detective's written summary of petitioner's oral account of incident; whether trial counsel's strategic decision to allow written summary into evidence was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by not objecting to trial court's jury instructions or requesting additional jury instruction regarding difference between intent elements of assault charges against petitioner; whether trial counsel provided ineffective assistance by declining to object to admission of police detective's written summary of petitioner's oral account of incident; whether trial counsel's strategic decision to allow written summary into evidence was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
of police detective's written summary of petitioner's oral account of incident; whether trial counsel's strategic decision to allow written summary into evidence was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
was reasonable; whether there was not reasonable probability that outcome of trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
trial would have been different if written summary had been excluded; whether habeas court reasonably concluded that petitioner failed to prove that he was prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
prejudiced by trial counsel's failure to request trial court to place its rejection of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
of his plea agreement on record; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of criminal proceedings would have been different if trial counsel had requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
requested trial court to place its rejection of plea on record; claim that habeas court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
court improperly dismissed claim that trial court violated petitioner's right to
due process by not stating on record its reasons for rejecting plea agreement; whether petitioner was harmed by absence of record of rejection of plea agreement.
Kirby v. Commissioner of Correction
Putnam Park Apartments, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission
Zoning; whether trial court improperly determined that zoning regulations permitted building to be located less than 100 feet from property line; whether trial court improperly determined that building proposal was consistent with zoning regulations; whether certain zoning regulation applied to special permit application.
Simms v. Commissioner of Correction
Soto v. Christians Alliance, Inc
State v. Cane
Criminal possession of firearm; criminal possession of ammunition; possession of controlled substance with intent to sell; whether trial court erroneously denied
motion to suppress evidence police seized from defendant's home and car; claim that trial court improperly found that warrantless search of defendant's home
that trial court improperty jound that warrantiess search of defendant's nome by police after he was arrested and in police custody constituted justifiable,
protective sweep of defendant's home; reviewability of unpreserved claims that
defendant was constructively seized by police and that they lacked probable cause
to search his car; whether information in affidavit of police officer in support
of warrant application provided basis for determination that probable cause
existed to search defendant's vehicle; unpreserved claim that trial court committed
plain error when it granted state's motion for joinder, where defendant, personally and through counsel, expressly stated that he had no objection to joinder; unpre-
served claim of judicial bias; whether reversal of judgment was warranted under
plain error doctrine where defendant claimed that trial court, in pretrial memo- randum of decision on motion to suppress, had found him quilty of kidnapping
and assault charges as to certain individuals it referred to as victims and then
considered those charges in sentencing him.

I age 140A	Page	146A
------------	------	------

State <i>v.</i> Gomes	79
Assault in second degree; whether trial court deprived defendant of right to present	
defense of investigative inadequacy when it omitted from its jury instructions	
certain language in defendant's written request to charge that pertained to alleged	
inadequacy of police investigation as it might relate to weaknesses in state's case.	
Water Pollution Control Authority v . McKinley	901